

SUSIFA, a new kind of forcing axiom

Mirna Džamonja

University of East Anglia

Luminy set theory workshop, October 5, 2010

Why and which forcing axioms?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Discussion.

More forcing axioms?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

The axioms I mentioned so far are about \aleph_1 .

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

More forcing axioms?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

The axioms I mentioned so far are about \aleph_1 .

There are generalisations of MA to successors of
regulars above \aleph_1 :

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

More forcing axioms?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

The axioms I mentioned so far are about \aleph_1 .

There are generalisations of MA to successors of
regulars above \aleph_1 :

Baumgartner,

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

More forcing axioms?

SUSIFA, a new kind of forcing axiom

Mirna Džamonja

The axioms I mentioned so far are about \aleph_1 .

There are generalisations of MA to successors of regulars above \aleph_1 :

Baumgartner, Shelah (SFA),

Set theory

Why and which forcing axioms?

How to obtain a forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

More forcing axioms?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

The axioms I mentioned so far are about \aleph_1 .

There are generalisations of MA to successors of
regulars above \aleph_1 :

Baumgartner, Shelah (SFA), Roslanowski-Shelah etc.

More forcing axioms?

SUSIFA, a new kind of forcing axiom

Mirna Džamonja

Set theory

Why and which forcing axioms?

How to obtain a forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

The axioms I mentioned so far are about \aleph_1 .

There are generalisations of MA to successors of regulars above \aleph_1 :

Baumgartner, Shelah (SFA), Roslanowski-Shelah etc.

But the singulars and (hence their successors) are different:

More forcing axioms?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

The axioms I mentioned so far are about \aleph_1 .

There are generalisations of MA to successors of
regulars above \aleph_1 :

Baumgartner, Shelah (SFA), Roslanowski-Shelah etc.

But the singulars and (hence their successors) are
different:

SCH If κ is such that $2^{\text{cf}(\kappa)} < \kappa$, then $\kappa^{\text{cf}(\kappa)} = \kappa^+$.

More forcing axioms?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

The axioms I mentioned so far are about \aleph_1 .

There are generalisations of MA to successors of
regulars above \aleph_1 :

Baumgartner, Shelah (SFA), Roslanowski-Shelah etc.

But the singulars and (hence their successors) are
different:

SCH If κ is such that $2^{\text{cf}(\kappa)} < \kappa$, then $\kappa^{\text{cf}(\kappa)} = \kappa^+$.

So SCH \implies there cannot be a singular strong limit κ
with $2^\kappa > \kappa^+$.

Theorem

(Jensen) If 0^\sharp does not exist then SCH holds.

Theorem

(Jensen) If 0^\sharp does not exist then SCH holds.

Therefore if we want to fail SCH we **must** assume the consistency of some (large) large cardinals.

Theorem

(Jensen) If 0^\sharp does not exist then SCH holds.

Therefore if we want to fail SCH we **must** assume the consistency of some (large) large cardinals.

This explains that even simplest forcing is complex at a singular cardinal (and consequently at its successor) is not trivial.

How to make a forcing axiom

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Discussion on the board.

In a joint work with Saharon Shelah, we show

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

In a joint work with Saharon Shelah, we show

Theorem

(1) From the consistency of the existence of a supercompact cardinal, there follows the consistency of an axiom SUSIFA.

In a joint work with Saharon Shelah, we show

Theorem

(1) From the consistency of the existence of a supercompact cardinal, there follows the consistency of an axiom SUSIFA.

(2) SUSIFA implies the consistency of the existence of a singular strong limit κ of any desired cofinality $< \kappa$,

In a joint work with Saharon Shelah, we show

Theorem

(1) From the consistency of the existence of a supercompact cardinal, there follows the consistency of an axiom SUSIFA.

(2) SUSIFA implies the consistency of the existence of a singular strong limit κ of any desired cofinality $< \kappa$, 2^κ as large as we wish

In a joint work with Saharon Shelah, we show

Theorem

(1) From the consistency of the existence of a supercompact cardinal, there follows the consistency of an axiom SUSIFA.

(2) SUSIFA implies the consistency of the existence of a singular strong limit κ of any desired cofinality $< \kappa$, 2^κ as large as we wish and a number of combinatorial statements about κ^+ , such as

In a joint work with Saharon Shelah, we show

Theorem

(1) From the consistency of the existence of a supercompact cardinal, there follows the consistency of an axiom SUSIFA.

(2) SUSIFA implies the consistency of the existence of a singular strong limit κ of any desired cofinality $< \kappa$, 2^κ as large as we wish and a number of combinatorial statements about κ^+ , such as

the universality number of the family of graphs on κ^+ is κ^{++} (so $\ll 2^{\kappa^+}$).

SUSIFA $_{\theta, \partial, \lambda}(\kappa, \mathfrak{u})$ stands for:

- (1) κ is a supercompact cardinal and θ, ∂ are regular cardinals with $\theta < \kappa$ and $\kappa^+ < \partial$,
 $2^\kappa = \lambda$,

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

SUSIFA $_{\theta, \partial, \lambda}(\kappa, u)$ stands for:

- (1) κ is a supercompact cardinal and θ, ∂ are regular cardinals with $\theta < \kappa$ and $\kappa^+ < \partial$,
 $2^\kappa = \lambda$,
- (2) $u \in U_\infty$ is such that $\kappa(u) = \kappa$ and
 $\text{lg}(u) = \theta$,

SUSIFA $_{\theta, \partial, \lambda}(\kappa, u)$ stands for:

- (1) κ is a supercompact cardinal and θ, ∂ are regular cardinals with $\theta < \kappa$ and $\kappa^+ < \partial$,
 $2^\kappa = \lambda$,
- (2) $u \in U_\infty$ is such that $\kappa(u) = \kappa$ and $\text{lg}(u) = \theta$,
- (3) there is a sequence $\bar{\mathbf{V}} = \langle \mathbf{V}_i : i < \partial \rangle$ which is a \subseteq -increasing sequence of transitive classes containing the ordinals and modelling ZFC, and such that

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

SUSIFA $_{\theta, \partial, \lambda}(\kappa, u)$ stands for:

- (1) κ is a supercompact cardinal and θ, ∂ are regular cardinals with $\theta < \kappa$ and $\kappa^+ < \partial$,
 $2^\kappa = \lambda$,
- (2) $u \in U_\infty$ is such that $\kappa(u) = \kappa$ and $\text{lg}(u) = \theta$,
- (3) there is a sequence $\bar{\mathbf{V}} = \langle \mathbf{V}_i : i < \partial \rangle$ which is a \subseteq -increasing sequence of transitive classes containing the ordinals and modelling ZFC, and such that
 $\kappa^{>} \mathbf{V}_i \subseteq \mathbf{V}_i$,

SUSIFA $_{\theta, \partial, \lambda}(\kappa, u)$ stands for:

- (1) κ is a supercompact cardinal and θ, ∂ are regular cardinals with $\theta < \kappa$ and $\kappa^+ < \partial$,
 $2^\kappa = \lambda$,
- (2) $u \in U_\infty$ is such that $\kappa(u) = \kappa$ and $\text{lg}(u) = \theta$,
- (3) there is a sequence $\bar{\mathbf{V}} = \langle \mathbf{V}_i : i < \partial \rangle$ which is a \subseteq -increasing sequence of transitive classes containing the ordinals and modelling ZFC, and such that ${}^{\kappa >} \mathbf{V}_i \subseteq \mathbf{V}_i$,
- (4) $\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+) \subseteq \bigcup \{ \mathbf{V}_i : i < \partial \}$ and

there are disjoint subsets S_1 and S_2 of ∂ such that S_1 is unbounded, S_2 is a club and ...

- (a) for $i \in S_1$, \mathbf{V}_{i+1} satisfies $\text{SFA}(\kappa)$ and $\mathbf{V}_{i+1} \models "2^\kappa > |\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)|^{\mathbf{V}_i}"$,
- (b) for each $i \in S_2$, $u_i = u \upharpoonright \mathbf{V}_i \in (U_\infty)^{\mathbf{V}_i}$,
- (c) there is a sequence $\bar{A} = \langle A_i : i \in S_2 \rangle$ such that each $A_i \in \mathfrak{F}(u)$ and for all $B \in \mathfrak{F}(u_i)$, $|B \setminus A_i| < \kappa$, and $A_i \in \mathbf{V}_{i+1}$.

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

there are disjoint subsets S_1 and S_2 of ∂ such that S_1 is unbounded, S_2 is a club and ...

- (a) for $i \in S_1$, \mathbf{V}_{i+1} satisfies $\text{SFA}(\kappa)$ and $\mathbf{V}_{i+1} \models "2^\kappa > |\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)|^{\mathbf{V}_i}"$,
- (b) for each $i \in S_2$, $u_i = u \upharpoonright \mathbf{V}_i \in (U_\infty)^{\mathbf{V}_i}$,
- (c) there is a sequence $\bar{A} = \langle A_i : i \in S_2 \rangle$ such that each $A_i \in \mathfrak{F}(u)$ and for all $B \in \mathfrak{F}(u_i)$, $|B \setminus A_i| < \kappa$, and $A_i \in \mathbf{V}_{i+1}$.

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Picture on the board.

How to use this statement?

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

How to use this statement?

Randomisation:

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

How to use this statement?

Randomisation:

$R(u)$ names over \mathbf{V} can be seen as a
sequence of $\langle R(u_i) : i \in \mathcal{S}_2 \rangle$ names
not necessarily for the same kind of object

Graph G on $\kappa^+ \rightarrow$

How to use this statement?

Randomisation:

$R(u)$ names over \mathbf{V} can be seen as a
sequence of $\langle R(u_i) : i \in \mathcal{S}_2 \rangle$ names
not necessarily for the same kind of object

Graph G on κ^+ \rightarrow pregraph (κ -coloured graph) Γ on
 κ^+

How to use this statement?

Randomisation:

$R(u)$ names over \mathbf{V} can be seen as a
sequence of $\langle R(u_i) : i \in S_2 \rangle$ names
not necessarily for the same kind of object

Graph G on κ^+ \rightarrow pregraph (κ -coloured graph) Γ on
 κ^+

pregraph: $M = (\kappa^+, R_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ where R_α s are subsets
of the set of unordered pairs from κ^+ .

The **intuition** is that a pregraph gives us κ many choices for a graph and the generic choses one.

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

The **intuition** is that a pregraph gives us κ many choices for a graph and the generic choses one.

Definition

Let pr in \mathbf{V} be any bijection $\text{pr} : \kappa \times \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$.

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

The **intuition** is that a pregraph gives us κ many choices for a graph and the generic chooses one.

Definition

Let pr in \mathbf{V} be any bijection $\text{pr} : \kappa \times \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$.

Suppose that $\Gamma = (\kappa^+, R_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is a κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraph in \mathbf{V} .

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

The **intuition** is that a pregraph gives us κ many choices for a graph and the generic chooses one.

Definition

Let pr in \mathbf{V} be any bijection $\text{pr} : \kappa \times \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$.

Suppose that $\Gamma = (\kappa^+, R_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is a κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraph in \mathbf{V} .

We define a $\mathbb{R}(U)$ -name $\dot{\gamma}(\Gamma) = (\kappa^+, \dot{R})$ for a graph on κ^+ by letting

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

The **intuition** is that a pregraph gives us κ many choices for a graph and the generic chooses one.

Definition

Let pr in \mathbf{V} be any bijection $\text{pr} : \kappa \times \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$.

Suppose that $\Gamma = (\kappa^+, R_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is a κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraph in \mathbf{V} .

We define a $\mathbb{R}(u)$ -name $\dot{\gamma}(\Gamma) = (\kappa^+, \dot{R})$ for a graph on κ^+ by letting $\zeta \dot{R} \xi$ for $\zeta < \xi < \kappa^+$ iff $R_{\text{pr}(\alpha, \beta)}(\zeta, \xi)$ holds for some β and some $p \in \dot{G}$ forces that “ $h(\alpha) = 1$ ”.

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

The **intuition** is that a pregraph gives us κ many choices for a graph and the generic chooses one.

Definition

Let pr in \mathbf{V} be any bijection $\text{pr} : \kappa \times \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$.

Suppose that $\Gamma = (\kappa^+, R_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$ is a κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraph in \mathbf{V} .

We define a $\mathbb{R}(u)$ -name $\dot{\gamma}(\Gamma) = (\kappa^+, \dot{R})$ for a graph on κ^+ by letting $\zeta \dot{R} \xi$ for $\zeta < \xi < \kappa^+$ iff $R_{\text{pr}(\alpha, \beta)}(\zeta, \xi)$ holds for some β and some $p \in \dot{G}$ forces that “ $h(\alpha) = 1$ ”.

h is a certain sequence of κ many names for a truth value.

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Lemma

(1) For every $\mathbb{R}(u)$ -name \dot{M} for a graph on κ^+ ,

Lemma

(1) For every $\mathbb{R}(u)$ -name \dot{M} for a graph on κ^+ , for every large enough $i \in S_2$,

Lemma

(1) For every $\mathbb{R}(u)$ -name \dot{M} for a graph on κ^+ , for every large enough $i \in S_2$, $\langle (u, A_i) \rangle$ forces " $\dot{M} = \dot{\gamma}(\Gamma)$ " for some $\Gamma \in \mathbf{V}_{i+1}$.

Lemma

(1) For every $\mathbb{R}(u)$ -name \dot{M} for a graph on κ^+ , for every large enough $i \in S_2$, $\langle (u, A_i) \rangle$ forces " $\dot{M} = \dot{\gamma}(\Gamma)$ " for some $\Gamma \in \mathbf{V}_{i+1}$.

(2) Suppose that Γ and Γ' are two κ -coloured pregraphs on κ^+ and $g : \kappa^+ \rightarrow \kappa^+$ is such that g induces an embedding from Γ to Γ' .

Lemma

(1) For every $\mathbb{R}(u)$ -name \dot{M} for a graph on κ^+ , for every large enough $i \in S_2$, $\langle (u, A_i) \rangle$ forces “ $\dot{M} = \dot{\gamma}(\Gamma)$ ” for some $\Gamma \in \mathbf{V}_{i+1}$.

(2) Suppose that Γ and Γ' are two κ -coloured pregraphs on κ^+ and $g : \kappa^+ \rightarrow \kappa^+$ is such that g induces an embedding from Γ to Γ' . Then it is forced that “ g is an embedding from $\dot{\gamma}(\Gamma)$ to $\dot{\gamma}(\Gamma')$ ”.

Conclusion

Suppose that $\{\Gamma_i : i < \partial\}$ is a universal subfamily for the family of all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V} .

Conclusion

Suppose that $\{\Gamma_i : i < \partial\}$ is a universal subfamily for the family of all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V} .

Then in the extension $\mathbf{V}[G]$ by $\mathbb{R}(u)$,

Conclusion

Suppose that $\{\Gamma_i : i < \partial\}$ is a universal subfamily for the family of all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V} .

Then in the extension $\mathbf{V}[G]$ by $\mathbb{R}(u)$, the family $\{(\dot{\gamma}(\Gamma_i))_G : i < \partial\}$ is a universal family for the family of all graphs on κ^+ .

Now we need to make a universal subfamily for the family of all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V} .

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Now we need to make a universal subfamily for the family of all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V} . This is where we shall use S_1 .

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Now we need to make a universal subfamily for the family of all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V} . This is where we shall use S_1 .

We make sure that if $i \in S_1$, then there is a κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraph in \mathbf{V}_{i+1} which embeds all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V}_i .

[Set theory](#)[Why and which forcing axioms?](#)[How to obtain a forcing axiom](#)[SUSIFA](#)[Using SUSIFA](#)[Future work](#)

Now we need to make a universal subfamily for the family of all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V} . This is where we shall use S_1 .

We make sure that if $i \in S_1$, then there is a κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraph in \mathbf{V}_{i+1} which embeds all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V}_i .

We shall use the axiom SFA.

Now we need to make a universal subfamily for the family of all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V} . This is where we shall use S_1 .

We make sure that if $i \in S_1$, then there is a κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraph in \mathbf{V}_{i+1} which embeds all κ -coloured κ^+ -pregraphs in \mathbf{V}_i .

We shall use the axiom SFA. Work now in \mathbf{V}_{i+1} .

Let $\Upsilon = |\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)|^{V_i}$, so $\Upsilon < 2^\kappa$.

Let $\Upsilon = |\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)|^{\mathbf{V}_i}$, so $\Upsilon < 2^\kappa$.

There are Υ distinct κ^+ -branches $\{\eta_\alpha : \alpha < \Upsilon\}$ of $(\kappa^+ > 2)^{\mathbf{V}_i}$.

Let $\Upsilon = |\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)|^{\mathbf{V}_i}$, so $\Upsilon < 2^\kappa$.

There are Υ distinct κ^+ -branches $\{\eta_\alpha : \alpha < \Upsilon\}$ of $(\kappa^+ > 2)^{\mathbf{V}_i}$. We shall use them to generically define a pregraph and embeddings into it of pregraphs in \mathbf{V}_j .

Let $\Upsilon = |\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)|^{\mathbf{V}_i}$, so $\Upsilon < 2^\kappa$.

There are Υ distinct κ^+ -branches $\{\eta_\alpha : \alpha < \Upsilon\}$ of $(\kappa^+ > 2)^{\mathbf{V}_i}$. We shall use them to generically define a pregraph and embeddings into it of pregraphs in \mathbf{V}_j .

NOTE: Since κ is supercompact in \mathbf{V} , $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$, and therefore $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ also holds in \mathbf{V}_j .

Let $\Upsilon = |\mathcal{P}(\kappa^+)|^{V_i}$, so $\Upsilon < 2^\kappa$.

There are Υ distinct κ^+ -branches $\{\eta_\alpha : \alpha < \Upsilon\}$ of $(\kappa^+ > 2)^{V_i}$. We shall use them to generically define a pregraph and embeddings into it of pregraphs in \mathbf{V}_j .

NOTE: Since κ is supercompact in \mathbf{V} , $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$, and therefore $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ also holds in \mathbf{V}_j .

In particular $\zeta < \kappa^+ \implies |\{\eta_\alpha \upharpoonright \zeta : \alpha < \Upsilon\}| \leq \kappa^+$.

Let $\langle \langle M_\alpha, (R_{\alpha,\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon < \kappa} \rangle : \alpha < \Upsilon \rangle$ be a list without repetitions of all κ -coloured pregraphs on κ^+ from \mathbf{V}_i .

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Let $\langle\langle M_\alpha, (R_{\alpha,\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon < \kappa} \rangle : \alpha < \Upsilon \rangle$ be a list without repetitions of all κ -coloured pregraphs on κ^+ from \mathbf{V}_i .

We define a forcing notion Q whose purpose is to embed all M_α into a single κ -coloured pregraph on κ^+

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Let $\langle\langle M_\alpha, (R_{\alpha,\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon < \kappa} \rangle : \alpha < \Upsilon \rangle$ be a list without repetitions of all κ -coloured pregraphs on κ^+ from \mathbf{V}_i .

We define a forcing notion Q whose purpose is to embed all M_α into a single κ -coloured pregraph on κ^+ whose nodes are of the form $(\eta_\alpha \upharpoonright \zeta, \beta)$ for some $\alpha < \Upsilon$, $\beta < \kappa$

Let $\langle\langle M_\alpha, (R_{\alpha,\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon < \kappa} \rangle : \alpha < \Upsilon \rangle$ be a list without repetitions of all κ -coloured pregraphs on κ^+ from \mathbf{V}_i .

We define a forcing notion Q whose purpose is to embed all M_α into a single κ -coloured pregraph on κ^+ whose nodes are of the form $(\eta_\alpha \upharpoonright \zeta, \beta)$ for some $\alpha < \Upsilon$, $\beta < \kappa$ and whose colours are denoted by R_ε^* for $\varepsilon < \kappa$.

Definition

$$Q \ni p = \langle \iota^p, \dots \rangle$$

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Definition

$$Q \ni p = \langle \iota^p, \nu^p, \dots \rangle$$

Definition

$$Q \ni p = \langle \iota^p, \nu^p, \bar{f}^p = \langle f_\alpha^p : \alpha \in \iota^p \rangle, \rangle$$

Definition

$$Q \ni p = \langle \iota^p, \nu^p, \bar{f}^p = \langle f_\alpha^p : \alpha \in \iota^p \rangle, R_{\varepsilon}^{*,p} = \langle R_\varepsilon^{*,p} : \varepsilon \in \nu^p \rangle \rangle,$$

where:

Definition

$$Q \ni p = \langle \iota^p, \nu^p, \bar{f}^p = \langle f_\alpha^p : \alpha \in \iota^p \rangle, \bar{R}^{*,p} = \langle R_\varepsilon^{*,p} : \varepsilon \in \nu^p \rangle \rangle,$$

where:

$$(i) \iota^p \in [\gamma]^{<\kappa}, \nu^p \in [\kappa]^{<\kappa},$$

Definition

$$Q \ni p = \langle \iota^p, \nu^p, \bar{f}^p = \langle f_\alpha^p : \alpha \in \iota^p \rangle, \bar{R}^{*,p} = \langle R_\varepsilon^{*,p} : \varepsilon \in \nu^p \rangle \rangle,$$

where:

- (i) $\iota^p \in [\Upsilon]^{<\kappa}$, $\nu^p \in [\kappa]^{<\kappa}$,
- (ii) for $\alpha \in \iota^p$, we have that f_α^p is a partial one-to-one function from κ^+ with $|\text{dom}(f_\alpha^p)| < \kappa$, mapping $\zeta \in \text{dom}(f_\alpha^p)$ to an element of $\{\eta_\alpha \upharpoonright \zeta\} \times \kappa$,

Definition

$$Q \ni p = \langle \iota^p, \nu^p, \bar{f}^p = \langle f_\alpha^p : \alpha \in \iota^p \rangle, R_{\varepsilon}^{*,p} = \langle R_\varepsilon^{*,p} : \varepsilon \in \nu^p \rangle \rangle,$$

where:

- (i) $\iota^p \in [\Upsilon]^{<\kappa}$, $\nu^p \in [\kappa]^{<\kappa}$,
- (ii) for $\alpha \in \iota^p$, we have that f_α^p is a partial one-to-one function from κ^+ with $|\text{dom}(f_\alpha^p)| < \kappa$, mapping $\zeta \in \text{dom}(f_\alpha^p)$ to an element of $\{\eta_\alpha \upharpoonright \zeta\} \times \kappa$,
- (iii) for $\varepsilon \in \nu^p$, $R_\varepsilon^{*,p}$ is a subset of the set of unordered pairs from $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \iota^p} \text{rge}(f_\alpha^p)$,

Definition

$$Q \ni p = \langle \iota^p, \nu^p, \bar{f}^p = \langle f_\alpha^p : \alpha \in \iota^p \rangle, R_{\varepsilon}^{*,p} = \langle R_\varepsilon^{*,p} : \varepsilon \in \nu^p \rangle \rangle,$$

where:

- (i) $\iota^p \in [\Upsilon]^{<\kappa}$, $\nu^p \in [\kappa]^{<\kappa}$,
- (ii) for $\alpha \in \iota^p$, we have that f_α^p is a partial one-to-one function from κ^+ with $|\text{dom}(f_\alpha^p)| < \kappa$, mapping $\zeta \in \text{dom}(f_\alpha^p)$ to an element of $\{\eta_\alpha \upharpoonright \zeta\} \times \kappa$,
- (iii) for $\varepsilon \in \nu^p$, $R_\varepsilon^{*,p}$ is a subset of the set of unordered pairs from $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \iota^p} \text{rge}(f_\alpha^p)$,
- (iv) for every $\alpha \in \iota^p$ and $\zeta < \xi \in \text{dom}(f_\alpha^p)$, for every $\varepsilon < \kappa$, $R_\varepsilon^{*,p}(f_\alpha^p(\zeta), f_\alpha^p(\xi))$ iff $R_{\alpha,\varepsilon}(\zeta, \xi)$.

$p \leq q$ (here q is the stronger condition) iff

$p \leq q$ (here q is the stronger condition) iff

$$(a) \iota^p \subseteq \iota^q, \nu^p \subseteq \nu^q$$

$p \leq q$ (here q is the stronger condition) iff

(a) $\iota^p \subseteq \iota^q, \nu^p \subseteq \nu^q$

(b) For $\alpha \in \iota^p$, we have $f_\alpha^p \subseteq f_\alpha^q$.

$p \leq q$ (here q is the stronger condition) iff

(a) $\iota^p \subseteq \iota^q$, $\nu^p \subseteq \nu^q$

(b) For $\alpha \in \iota^p$, we have $f_\alpha^p \subseteq f_\alpha^q$.

This obviously does the job,

$p \leq q$ (here q is the stronger condition) iff

(a) $\iota^p \subseteq \iota^q$, $\nu^p \subseteq \nu^q$

(b) For $\alpha \in \iota^p$, we have $f_\alpha^p \subseteq f_\alpha^q$.

This obviously does the job, the point is that SFA
applies to this forcing:

Definition

Axiom $SFA(\kappa)$:

Definition

Axiom $SFA(\kappa)$: for every $(< \kappa)$ -directed closed forcing

P

Definition

Axiom $SFA(\kappa)$: for every $(< \kappa)$ -directed closed forcing P which has $(2, \omega)$ -lubs,

Definition

Axiom $SFA(\kappa)$: for every $(< \kappa)$ -directed closed forcing P which has $(2, \omega)$ -lubs, if P is stationary κ^+ -cc,

Definition

Axiom $SFA(\kappa)$: for every $(< \kappa)$ -directed closed forcing P which has $(2, \omega)$ -lubs, if P is stationary κ^+ -cc, then for every family \mathcal{D} of $< 2^\kappa$ dense sets in P , there is a filter in P which intersects every set in \mathcal{D} .

Reflecton?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

At successor of regular cardinals, reflection principles
and forcing axioms contradict each other:

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Reflecton?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

At successor of regular cardinals, reflection principles
and forcing axioms contradict each other:

e.g. MA contradicts the Rado conjecture.

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Reflecton?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

At successor of regular cardinals, reflection principles
and forcing axioms contradict each other:

e.g. MA contradicts the Rado conjecture.

RC (Todorčević reformulation) A tree is special iff
every of its subsets of size \aleph_1 is special.

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

Reflecton?

SUSIFA, a new
kind of forcing
axiom

Mirna Džamonja

At successor of regular cardinals, reflection principles
and forcing axioms contradict each other:

e.g. MA contradicts the Rado conjecture.

RC (Todorčević reformulation) A tree is special iff
every of its subsets of size \aleph_1 is special.

With Victor Torres we shall investigate the possibility
of SUSIFA holding with reflection, such as the analogues
of Rado conjecture.

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work

The work here cannot be trivial, because of the fact that at the successor of a strong limit singular, a (rather weak) version of square must hold (Dž.-Shelah 1995).

Set theory

Why and which
forcing axioms?

How to obtain a
forcing axiom

SUSIFA

Using SUSIFA

Future work